

# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 10

1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900 Seattle, Washington 98101-3140

DEC 2 2014

OFFICE OF COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT

Reply to: OCE-133

#### CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mr. Mark Moser Owner Moser Farms Inc. 2406 Slater Road Ferndale, Washington 98248

Re:

September 3, 2014, NPDES Compliance Inspection

NPDES Identifier Number WAU000633

Dear Mr. Moser:

On September 3, 2014, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) inspected your facility to evaluate its compliance with the Clean Water Act (CWA). I would like to express my appreciation for your staff's time and cooperation during the inspection. The inspector did not indicate any violations of the CWA at the time of inspection.

Please follow up with the Washington State Department of Agriculture, the Washington State Department of Ecology, and the Whatcom Conservation District to ensure your facility is in compliance with the state and local regulations. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please call Steven Potokar, CAFO Enforcement Coordinator, at (206) 553-6354.

Sincerely,

Jeff KenKnight, Manager NPDES Compliance Unit

the Kullinghot

cc:

Ms. Virginia Prest

Washington State Department of Agriculture

Mr. Michael Isensee

Washington State Department of Agriculture

Mr. Doug Allen

Washington State Department of Ecology - Bellingham Field Office

Mr. George Boggs

Whatcom Conservation District



KIRSTEN BARRON TEL (360) 733-0212

FAX (360) 738-2341 kbarron@barronsmithlaw.com

February 16, 2016

Debbie Nelson, Paralegal

KIRSTEN BARRON
J. BRUCE SMITH
SALLYE N. QUINN
ANDREW W. HEINZ
DENNIS R. WILLIAMS
ERIN CRISMAN GLASS
MEGAN M. LEWIS
OLIVIA J. BURKLAND
BURTON EGGERTSEN

LARRY DAUGERT (Ret.) BRIAN L. HANSEN (Ret.)

Jeff KenKnight United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900 Seattle, Washington 98101

Re: EPA Correspondence with Moser Farms, Inc.

Dear Mr. KenKnight:

We represent Moser Farms, Inc.

This letter is in reference to correspondence that your agency has sent to Moser Farms, Inc. You will note that the contact person is Mark Moser, see attached. Mark Moser has never been an owner of Moser Farms, Inc. and while he was once an employee, he is no longer an employee. We suspect that Mark has illegally and fraudulently represented himself as an owner of Moser Farms, Inc. and by this letter we request that your agency change the contact information so that the Moser Farms, Inc. contact is Steve Moser — who is both the President of the company and a shareholder. The address you are using is correct, 2406 Slater Rd., Ferndale, WA 98248; however, please correct your records to reflect that Steve Moser is the contact, owner and officer of Moser Farms, Inc.

Please let me know if you have any questions on this matter.

Sincerely,

BARRON, SMITH DAUGERT, PLLC

Kirsten Barron

KDB/dn

Enclosure/s

RECEIVED

FEB 1 8 2016

H.S. FILA REGION 18 Office of the spagnes of Hamoreoment

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY
■ Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery Life desired.  ■ Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.  ■ Attach this card to the back of the mailplece, or on the front if space permits.  1. Article Addressed to:  Mr. Mark Moser  Owner  Moser Farms Inc.  2406 Slater Road  Ferndale, WA 98248	A. Signature  X  A. Addresse B. Received by (Printed Name)  C. Date of Deliver  Addresse  C. Date of Deliver  Addresse  C. Date of Deliver  Yes  Friority Mall Express  Return Receipt for Merchandise  Insured Mail  Collect on Delivery  4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee)
2. Article Number 7013 ]	710 0002 3980 4363





# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 10

1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900 Seattle, Washington 98101-3140

DEC 2 2014

OFFICE OF COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT

Reply to: OCE-133

## **CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED**

Mr. Mark Moser Owner Moser Farms Inc. 2406 Slater Road Ferndale, Washington 98248

Re:

September 3, 2014, NPDES Compliance Inspection

NPDES Identifier Number WAU000633

Dear Mr. Moser:

On September 3, 2014, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) inspected your facility to evaluate its compliance with the Clean Water Act (CWA). I would like to express my appreciation for your staff's time and cooperation during the inspection. The inspector did not indicate any violations of the CWA at the time of inspection.

Please follow up with the Washington State Department of Agriculture, the Washington State Department of Ecology, and the Whatcom Conservation District to ensure your facility is in compliance with the state and local regulations. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please call Steven Potokar, CAFO Enforcement Coordinator, at (206) 553-6354.

Sincerely,

Jeff KenKnight, Manager NPDES Compliance Unit

f Kulling has

cc:

Ms. Virginia Prest

Washington State Department of Agriculture

Mr. Michael Isensee

Washington State Department of Agriculture

Mr. Doug Allen

Washington State Department of Ecology - Bellingham Field Office

Mr. George Boggs

Whatcom Conservation District

# **CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED**

Mr. Mark Moser Owner Moser Farms Inc. 2406 Slater Road Ferndale, Washington 98248

Re:

September 3, 2014, NPDES Compliance Inspection NPDES Identifier Number WAU000633

Sincerely,

Jeff KenKnight, Manager NPDES Compliance Unit

cc:

Ms. Virginia Prest

Washington State Department of Agriculture

Mr. Michael Isensee

Washington State Department of Agriculture

Mr. Doug Allen

Washington State Department of Ecology – Bellingham Field Office

Mr. George Boggs

Whatcom Conservation District

N:\APPS\OCE\NPDES Compliance\Potokar\Post Inspection 2014\WAU00633 Moser Farms Inc\WAU000633 Moser Farms Inc.docx

		CON	CURRENCE	S	- TI	
Initials:	MA	E				
Name:	Potokar					
Date:	12/2					

United States Environme Washington,		_	
Water Compliance	Inspection Repo	ort	
Section A: Nationa	l Data System Coding (i.e.	e, PCS)	`
Transaction Code NPDES  1 N	1 1 1 10 1 1	spection Type I	nspector Fac Type
21			66
Inspection Work Days Facility Self-Monitoring Evaluation Rating  67 1 0 69 70	BI QA 71 72	73	eserved
	ion B: Facility Data	_	
Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users dischainclude POTW name and NPDES permit number)  Moser Farms Inc.	arging to POTW, also	Entry Time/Date 3:30PM 09/03/14	Permit Effective Date NA
2406 Slater Road Ferndale, WA 98248		Exit Time/Date 4:15PM 09/03/14	Permit Expiration Date NA
Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Numb Mark Moser - Owner/Operator (360) 384-1172	per(s)	Other Facility Data (e.g descriptive information Unpermitted	, SIC NAICS, and other
(655),557,772		NAICS 112120 Dairy Cattle and Mi	lk Production
Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Numbe Mark Moser - Owner/Operator (360) 384-1172 2406 Slater Road Ferndale, WA 98248	Contacted  Yes No	Lat/Long: 48.81528	-122.61338
Section C: Areas Evaluated Durin	g Inspection (Check only	those areas evaluated	ai)
Permit Self-Monitoring Pro  Records/Reports Compliance Schede  Facility Site Review Laboratory  Effluent/Receiving Waters Operations & Maint  Flow Measurement Sludge Handling/Di	ules Pollution Prev Storm Water cenance Combined Se	vention ewer Overflow	4
Section D: Sun (Attach additional sheets of narrative and chee	nmary of Findings/Commo		as necessary)
SEV Codes SEV Description	Julio L		EIVED
			W
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		SEP -	- 8 2014
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	10000		ement Management Unit EMU)
Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s) / ////	Agency/Office/Phone and Fi	ax Numbers	Date
Jon Klemesrud	EPA R10/OCE/IEMU (20		09/08/14
Steven Potokar	EPA R10/OCE/NCU (20	6) 553-6354	
Signature of Management Q A Reviewer  Turnbull Q . Q	Agency/Office/Phone and F	4 3-0955	9/18/14

9-15-2014 Brom



#### Section A: National Data System Coding (i.e., PCS)

Column 1: Transaction Code: Use N. C., or D for New, Change, or Delete. All inspections will be new unless there is an error in the data entered.

Columns 3-11: NPDES Permit No. Enter the facility's NPDES permit number - third character in permit number indicates permit type for U unpermitted, G general permit, etc.. (Use the Remarks columns to record the State permit number, if necessary.)

Columns 12-17: Inspection Date. Insert the date entry was made into the facility. Use the year/month/day format (e.g., 04/10/01 = October 01, 2004).

Column 18: Inspection Type\*. Use one of the codes listed below to describe the type of inspection:

Α	Performance Audit	Ų	IU Inspection with Pretreatment Audit	1	Pretreatment Compliance (Oversight)
В	Compliance Biomonitoring	X	Toxics Inspection	@	Follow-up (enforcement)
C	Compliance Evaluation (non-sampling)	Z	Sludge - Biosolids	<b>A</b>	t onott up (critorocritority
D	Diagnostic	#	Combined Sewer Overflow-Sampling	{	Storm Water-Construction-Sampling
F	Pretreatment (Follow-up)	\$	Combined Sewer Overflow-Non-Sampling	,	Otens Mater Construction No. Consider
G	Pretreatment (Audit)	+	Sanitary Sewer Overflow-Sampling	- }	Storm Water-Construction-Non-Sampling
L	Industrial User (IU) Inspection	8	Sanitary Sewer Overflow-Non-Sampling	:	Storm Water-Non-Construction-Sampling
J	Complaints	1	CAFO-Sampling		01
M	Multimedia	=	CAFO-Non-Sampling	~	Storm Water-Non-Construction-
N	Spill	2	IU Sampling Inspection		Non-Sampling Storm Water-MS4-Sampling
Ö	Compliance Evaluation (Oversight)	3	IU Non-Sampling Inspection	<	Storit vvater-wis4-sampling
6	Pretreatment Compliance Inspection	4	IU Toxics Inspection	_	Storm Water-MS4-Non-Sampling
_		5	IU Sampling Inspection with Pretreatment	>	Storm Water-MS4-Audit
R	Reconnaissance	ě	IU Non-Sampling Inspection with Pretreatment		
S	Compliance Sampling	0			
		1	IU Toxics with Pretreatment		

#### Column 19: Inspector Code. Use one of the codes listed below to describe the lead agency in the inspection.

A — State (Contractor) B — EPA (Contractor) E — Corps of Engineers J — Joint EPA State Inspectors—EPA Lead	O— Other Inspectors, Federal/EPA (Specify in Remarks columns) P— Other Inspectors, State (Specify in Remarks columns) R— EPA Regional Inspector S— State Inspector T— Joint State/EPA Inspectors—State lead
B EPA (Contractor)	P CIEN Projectors, State (Specify in Remarks Columns)
I Inint EDA/State Inspectors_EDA Lead	Slate Inspector
L Local Health Department (State)	T   Inint State (EDA Inspectors—State lead
N — NEIC Inspectors	1 — Joint State La A hispectora — State lead

#### Column 20: Facility Type. Use one of the codes below to describe the facility.

- Municipal. Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs) with 1987 Standard Industrial Code (SIC) 4952.
- 2 Industrial. Other than municipal, agricultural, and Federal facilities.
- 3 Agricultural. Facilities classified with 1987 SIC 0111 to 0971.
- 4 Federal. Facilities identified as Federal by the EPA Regional Office.
- 5 Oil & Gas. Facilities classified with 1987 SIC 1311 to 1389.

Columns 21-66: Remarks. These columns are reserved for remarks at the discretion of the Region.

Columns 67-69: Inspection Work Days. Estimate the total work effort (to the nearest 0.1 work day), up to 99.9 days, that were used to complete the inspection and submit a QA reviewed report of findings. This estimate includes the accumulative effort of all participating inspectors; any effort for laboratory analyses, testing, and remote sensing; and the billed payroll time for travel and pre and post inspection preparation. This estimate does not require detailed documentation.

Column 70: Facility Evaluation Rating. Use information gathered during the inspection (regardless of inspection type) to evaluate the quality of the facility self-monitoring program. Grade the program using a scale of 1 to 5 with a score of 5 being used for very reliable self-monitoring programs, 3 being satisfactory, and 1 being used for very unreliable programs.

Column 71: Blomonitoring Information. Enter D for static testing. Enter F for flow through testing. Enter N for no biomonitoring.

Column 72: Quality Assurance Data Inspection. Enter Q if the inspection was conducted as followup on quality assurance sample results. Enter N otherwise.

Columns 73-80: These columns are reserved for regionally defined information.

#### Section B: Facility Data

This section is self-explanatory except for "Other Facility Data," which may include new information not in the permit or PCS (e.g., new outfalls, names of receiving waters, new ownership, other updates to the record, SIC/NAICS Codes, Latitude/Longitude).

#### Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection

Check only those areas evaluated by marking the appropriate box. Use Section D and additional sheets as necessary. Support the findings, as necessary, in a brief narrative report. Use the headings given on the report form (e.g., Permit, Records/Reports) when discussing the areas evaluated during the inspection.

#### Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments

Briefly summarize the inspection findings. This summary should abstract the pertinent inspection findings, not replace the narrative report. Reference a list of attachments, such as completed checklists taken from the NPDES Compliance Inspection Manuals and pretreatment guidance documents, including effluent data when sampling has been done. Use extra sheets as necessary.

\*Footnote: In addition to the inspection types listed above under column 18, a state may continue to use the following wet weather and CAFO inspection types until the state is brought into ICIS-NPDES: K: CAFO, V: SSO, Y: CSO, W: Storm Water 9: MS4. States may also use the new wet weather, CAFO and MS4 inspections types shown in column 18 of this form. The EPA regions are required to use the new wet weather, CAFO, and MS4 inspection types for inspections with an inspection date (DTIN) on or after July 1, 2005.

# FY 2014 INSPECTION CONCLUSION DATA SHEET (ICDS)

EPA Region 10

#### **CWA NPDES**

ICDS data is required to be reported for all on-site compliance inspections conducted by EPA inspectors, Senior Environmental Employees, or EPA contractors. States and tribes are not required to report ICDS data even if using EPA credentials. In addition to the 'core' compliance monitoring data, additional information is required if the inspection has a 'NPDES Special Regulatory Program' component. This form requires the inspector to provide the requested information by entering data in a text box, or checking the applicable box in a multi-select pick list. **DO NOT MODIFY FORM** 

Compliance Activity Type: Inspection/Evaluation

1. EPA Lead Inspector:

DITI Dead Inspector:		
First & Last Name:	Jon Klemesrud	
Phone #: (include area code)	(206) 553-5068	

2. Compliance Monitoring Dates: (mm/dd/yyyy of inspection)

Actual Start Date:	09/03/2014
Actual End Date:	09/03/2014

3. Compliance Monitoring Activity Name:

This is a descriptive name to help identify the compliance monitoring activity (e.g., Castle Peak Construction LLC – Hidden River Estates construction site).

Moser Farms Inc.

4. On-Site Facility Representative? (Check No or Yes)

100		No→ If checked, proceed to ICDS line 5	
X		Yes→ If checked, provide the following info	ormation then proceed to ICDS line 5
	1		Account of the control of the contro
	Н	Facility Representative: (first & last name)	Mark Moser
7500 0	П	Individual's Title:	Owner/Operator
	Н	Organization:	Moser Farms Inc.
1	П	Phone #: (include area code)	(360) 384-1172
1	П	Email:	
		4.5	

#### 5. Linked Facility:

A. Media-Specific Programmatic ID: For CWA NPDES facilities, this is the assigned 9-digit alphanumeric number (e.g., NPDES IDR10BD47). ONE & only one Programmatic ID must be linked to the Inspection. (Enter assigned NPDES #)

NPDES WAU000633

B. Facility Classification: (Check ONE)

NPDES Major NPDES Minor X NPDES Unpermitted

C. Facility Site Name & Physical Location: Provide the public or commercial name of the facility & street address / detailed description of the site inspected (e.g., Castle Peak Construction LLC - Hidden River Estates, 504 Larch St., Priest River ID 83856).

Moser Farms Inc. 2406 Slater Road Ferndale, WA 98248 D. Facility Latitude & Longitude: (Decimal Degrees only)

-	_ racinty Dutitude & Dongitude	(Decimin Degrees only)
	Latitude: (e.g., +46.3271)	48.81528
	Longitude: (e.g., -119.1202)	-122.61338

E. Is facility site within Tribal Land? (Check No or Yes)

	No
X	Yes→ Enter Tribal Land Name in text box below:
	Lummi Nation

F. NAICS Codes: CTRL+Click to follow this link-> 2012 NAICS Search

(Enter all 6-digit NAICS codes corresponding to the site/facility in text box below)

Primary NAICS: 112120	Other NAICS:	
		THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF

Corporation		
Privately Owned		
Individual		
City Government	X I III SOUND	A MARKET IN THE STATE OF THE STATE OF
County Government		VIII- BES DE IMM
State Government		
Tribal Government		21
School District		1 - 12 - 12 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 1
Municipal or Water District	III SIII S	
Mixed Ownership (e.g., Public/Private)		A Transfer of Total
GOCO (Government Owned/Contractor Operated)		
Federal Facility → Enter Federal Agency Name in text box below:		

H. Small Business Indicator: This flag indicates if the Facility meets the requirements of the EPA Small Business Policy. EPA's Small Business Compliance Policy defines a small business as "a person, corporation, partnership or other entity that employs 100 or fewer individuals (across all facilities and operations owned by the small business)." This policy further states that "The number of employees should be considered as full-time equivalents on an annual basis, including contract employees." The definition of a small municipality (in terms of a small business) is a local government serving 3,300 or fewer residents. (Check No or Yes)

No X Yes

6. Federal Statute | Law Section | Program:

This is the statute & section of the corresponding regulation associated with the inspection, & the program that is authorizing the Activity or being violated. (Check only ONE)

LIBS	CWA	308[A][B]: Records & Reports; Inspections	NPDES-Base Program (Limits, Reporting, Schedule)
	CWA	308[A][B]: Records & Reports; Inspections	NPDES-Pretreatment
	CWA	308[A][B]: Records & Reports; Inspections	NPDES-Sludge/Biosolids
X	CWA	308[A][B]: Records & Reports; Inspections	NPDES-Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs)
1.5	CWA	308[A][B]: Records & Reports; Inspections	NPDES-Combined Sewer Overflows (CSO)
	CWA	308[A][B]: Records & Reports; Inspections	NPDES-Sanitary Sewer Overflows (SSO)
	CWA	308[A][B]: Records & Reports; Inspections	NPDES-Stormwater: Construction
	CWA	308[A][B]: Records & Reports; Inspections	NPDES-Stormwater: Non-Construction
	CWA	308[A][B]: Records & Reports; Inspections	NPDES-Stormwater: MS4
	CWA	308[A][B]: Records & Reports; Inspections	NPDES-Section 308 Information Requests

#### 7. Compliance Monitoring (CM) Action Reason:

This is the description that identifies the purpose of a Compliance Monitoring Activity.

(You must check either Core Program or Agency Priority. If ONE of the Other CM Action Reasons applies, it should also be checked.)

	Core Program → If checked, skip ICDS line 8 & proceed to ICDS line 9		
X	Agency Priority→ If checked, proceed to ICDS line 8 & identify the applicable FY 2014 OECA National Priority		
	Other - Citizen Complaint/Tip		
4	Other - For Cause		
	Other - Random Inspection		
milio.	Other - Result of Spill		
	Other - Selected Monitoring Action		

#### 8. FY 2014 OECA National Priority:

This is the description that identifies the national priority that prompted the initiation of the inspection. (If Agency Priority was

checked in ICDS line 7, you must check ONE National Priority in table below)

	2014 - Energy Extraction – Land Based Gas Extraction & Production
Щ	2014 - WW - CAFO
X	2014 - WW - CAFO Regional Initiative Areas
	2014 - WW - CSOs < 50K service population
	2014 - WW - CSOs > = 50K service population
	2014 - WW - MS4s - Phase I
	2014 - WW - MS4s - Phase II
Gast.	2014 - WW - SSOs > = 10 mg/d and < 100 mg/d

## 9. 'Inspection Type' PCS Code Reported on EPA Form 3560-3 (Rev 1-06) in Section A – Column 18:

Only one of the available 'Inspection Type' PCS Codes can be used to describe the type of inspection conducted. The Inspection

Type checked in this section should equate to Compliance Monitoring Type checked in ICDS line 10. (Check only ONE)

A Performance Audit Inspection		\ CAFO (Sampling)	F Pretreatment (Follow-up)
B Compliance Biomonitoring	X	= CAFO (Non-Sampling)	G Pretreatment (Audit)
C Compliance Evaluation Inspection – Non-Sampling		# CSO (Sampling)	I Industrial User (IU) Inspection
<b>D</b> Diagnostic		\$ CSO (Non-Sampling)	P Pretreatment Compliance Inspection
J Complaints		T SSO (Sampling)	! Pretreatment Compliance (Oversight)
M Multimedia Inspection		SSO (Non-Sampling)	U IU Inspection with Pretreatment Audit
N Spill		{ Storm Water-Construction (Sampling)	2 IU Sampling Inspection
O Compliance Evaluation (Oversight)		} Storm Water-Construction (Non-Sampling)	3 IU Non-Sampling Inspection
R Reconnaissance Inspection		: Storm Water-Non-Construction (Sampling)	4 IU Toxics Inspection
S Compliance Sampling Inspection		∼ Storm Water-Non- Construction (Non-Sampling)	5 IU Sampling Inspection with Pretreatment
X Toxics Inspection		Storm Water-MS4 (Sampling)	6 IU Non-Sampling Inspection with Pretreatment
Z Sludge – Biosolids		Storm Water-MS4 (Non-Sampling)	7 - IU Toxics with Pretreatment
@ Follow-up (enforcement)		Storm Water-MS4 (Audit)	

## 10. Compliance Monitoring Type:

This is the description indicating the type of compliance monitoring activity conducted by a regulatory agency. The Compliance Monitoring Type checked in this section should equate to Inspection Type checked in ICDS line 9. (Check only ONE)

Comprehensive Type Inspections (designed to comprehensively determine compliance with the NPDES regulations & capture the most common & complete NPDES inspections)	Alternative Type Inspections (designed to capture less thorough, unique or unusual NPDES compliance monitoring activities)	Industrial User (IU) Type Inspections (apply only to the NPDES pretreatment program & designed to evaluate whether NPDES control authorities are meeting their responsibilities)	
Audit	AFO Defined	Audit (IU)	
Diagnostic	AFO Designation	Evaluation (IU)	
X Evaluation	Aerial Photography	Sampling (IU)	
Plan Review	Case Development	Toxics (IU)	
Sampling	Field Screening Sample		
Schedule Evaluation	Follow-up		
Toxics	Hyperspectral Imaging		
Biomonitoring → If checked; you	Illegal Operators		
must also check a value in the	Non-Compliance Rate		
following drop-down list	Reconnaissance with Sampling	× = v	
Diamento Compliant	Reconnaissance without Sampling		
Biomonitoring Compliance Monitoring Methods	Remote Sensing		
Discrete Acute	Satellite Imaging		
Discrete Chronic	Witness Response Drill		
Discrete Method	Oversight (Federal Oversight		
Flow-Through Method	inspections conducted to ensure the		
Flow-Through Acute	integrity of a State's compliance		
Flow-Through Chronic	monitoring program)  → If checked, skip ICDS lines 17-23		

#### 11. Compliance Monitoring Agency Type: (Check only ONE)

X	U.S. EPA
	EPA Contractor
	Other-EPA (i.e. Senior Environmental Employees (SEE), National Enforcement Investigations Center (NEIC))

# 12. Compliance Monitoring Agency Name: (This is the only selection for ICDS)

X Environmental Protection Agency

# 13. Was this a State, Federal or Joint (State/Federal) Inspection? (Check either State, Federal or Joint)

	State Inspection→ If State, proceed to ICDS line 14		
X	Federal Inspection→ If Federal, proceed to ICDS line 14		
	Joint (State/Federal) Inspection → If Joint, you must answer the following two questions		

	1) If Joint, what was the purpose of the parti-	cipation of the other party? (Check or	ılv ONE)
	True Joint Inspection with EPA & State	Training Purposes	
	Oversight Purposes	Assist the State	
	(D) 33/1.1-1. The sate hand the Lond Co. Alice V. C. Alice V.	4 30 (5) 15 (5)	
	2) Which Party had the lead (in the Joint ins   State→ If checked, you must answer the follow		
	State 7 if checked, you must answer the tonow	ing question	
	If State, Local or Tribal lead, did EPA assist?	(Check No or Yes)	
	No	<u> </u>	
	Yes		
	EPA		
14. Med	dia Monitored: (Check only ONE)		
	Water (biosolids & other sludges)		
X	Water (navigable/surface)		<u> </u>
	Water (sediment)		
	Water (stormwater)	T. 1	
-	Water (wastewater to POTW) Applies only to		Vs. If checked, you must enter
	the applicable POTW Name & NPDES # in tex	at box below:	
	Multimedia Indicator  Ses Media Indicator: Federal Facility Act is an indication that directly marks the inspection act  Federal Facility		Check only ONE)
06011	Federal Facility	1-nd f-d1 :	A
X	(traditional federal facility, military base, federal No Federal Facility Involvement	land or rederal agency impacting priva	ne property)
A	(no federal agency or federal property are involved	ed)	
	Non-Federal Party Impacting Federal Property		
	(activity involving contractors on federal property		)
19		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
17. Con	npliance Monitoring Action Outcome:		
	identifies the outcome of the inspection, if known a	t the time of activity. (Check only ONE)	
X	Under Review		
	No Violation		
	Immediately Corrected		
	Not Immediately Corrected		
45	No Compliance Monitoring (Access Denied)		
	No Compliance Monitoring (Facility Shut Down	1)	
	you observe deficiencies (potential violated No→ If checked, skip to ICDS line 21  Yes→ If checked, you must identify the Deficient	tions) during the on-site inspect	,
	Deficiencies observed (Check all applicable)	ns	proceed to 1000 mio 17
	Deficiencies observed (Check all applicable)  Potential excess emission in violation of regulation		proceed to 1000 into 15
	Deficiencies observed (Check all applicable)  Potential excess emission in violation of regulation Potential failure to complete or submit a notification		processes to TODS line 15
	Deficiencies observed (Check all applicable)  Potential excess emission in violation of regulation	on, report, certification, or manifest	

Potential failure to follow or develop a rec	quired management practice or procedure	
Potential failure to identify and manage a	regulated waste or pollutant in any media	
Potential failure to maintain a record or fa	illure to disclose a document	
Potential failure to maintain/inspect/ repai	ir meters, sensors, & recording equipment	
Potential failure to obtain a permit, produc	ct approval, or certification	
Potential failure to report regulated events	s such as spills, accidents, etc.	
Potential incorrect use of material (pesticional)	de, waste, product) or use of unapproved material	
Potential violation of a compliance schedu		

19. If you observed deficiencies, did you communicate the deficiencies to the Facility during the inspection? (Check No or Yes)

۲	CHECK I'V OF TEST
×	No→ If checked, skip to ICDS line 21
	Yes→ If checked, proceed to ICDS line 20

20. Did you observe the Facility take any actions during the inspection to address the deficiencies noted?

(Cn	eck ivo or res)	
Γ	No→ If checked, proceed to ICDS line 21	
	Yes > If checked, you must identify Actions tak	en in table below then proceed to ICDS line 21

(	Completed a Notification or Report
(	Corrected Monitoring Deficiencies
(	Corrected Record Keeping Deficiencies
I	mplemented New or Improved Management Practices or Procedures
I	mproved Pollutant Identification (e.g., Labeling, Manifesting, Storage, etc)
F	Requested a Permit Application or Applied for a Permit
٦	/erified Compliance with Previously Issued Enforcement Action – Part or All Conditions
F	Reduced Pollution (e.g., Use Reduction, Industrial Process Change, Emissions or Discharge Change, etc).
1	▶ If Reduced Pollution is checked, you must specify at least one Pollutant in the table below. See ICIS Pollutant Reference able for complete list of available values. The document is available on EPA R10's OCE Intranct site.

21. Did you provide general Compliance Assistance in accordance with the policy on the role of the EPA Inspector in providing Compliance Assistance during inspections? (Check No or Yes)

15]	ector in	providing Comphance Assistance auring	шѕресии
	No		
3	Ves		

22. Did you provide site-specific Compliance Assistance in accordance with the policy on the role of the EPA Inspector in providing Compliance Assistance during the inspections? (Check No or Yes)

X	No
	Yes

## 23. Is the inspection/evaluation related to a NPDES Special Regulatory Program? (Check No or Yes)

		➤ If checked, skip Attachments A-F			ć	7	
X	Yes	→If checked, you must identify the NPDES !	Special Regulat	ory Progr	am.	(Check applicable Program in tal	ble below,
	then	proceed to Attachment indicated)					

	Pretreatment→ Proceed to ICDS Attachment A
	Sanitary Sewer Overflow (SSO)→ Proceed to ICDS Attachment B
	Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO)→ Proceed to ICDS Attachment C
X	Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs)→ Proceed to ICDS Attachment D
	Storm Water (Non-Municipal)→ Proceed to ICDS Attachment E
	Storm Water (Municipal)→ Proceed to ICDS Attachment F

#### **Data Collection Process:**

- Inspector is responsible for collection of ICDS data during the on-site inspection.
- ➤ <u>Inspector</u> should complete the ICDS *during* or *immediately after* the inspection is conducted.
- Inspector should forward completed ICDS to first-line supervisor/designated alternate within five (5) days after returning from either a single inspection, or a series of inspections.
- The <u>first-line supervisor/designated alternate</u> should ensure ICDS data is collected & reported, and that the data is complete and accurate. Once the supervisor review is complete, the ICDS should be forwarded to the data entry person. For CWA inspections, forward the ICDS to the attention of Jeannine Brown by any of the following methods: Mail to U.S. EPA Region 10, 1200 6th Avenue, Suite 900, Mailstop OCE-184, Seattle, WA 98101; or email to <u>Brown.Jeannine@epa.gov.</u>

ICDS Sign Off	Name	Date Completed
ICDS Completed By Inspector	Jon Klemesrud	09/08/2014
ICDS Review Completed By First-line Supervisor/ Designated		
Alternate		
ICDS Data Entry Completed By CWA Data Manager	Jeannine Brown	

# ICDS Attachment D: Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) (page 1 of 2) Moser Farms Inc.

s the Animal Facility Type a CAFO?	Yes
Yes or No)	
CAFO Classification?	Small
Large, Medium, or Small)	
CAFO Designation Date: (mm/dd/yyyy)	
Designation Reason:	
_	
Discharges During Year From Production Check only ONE)	n Area:
Check only ONE) X No	n Area:
X No Yes (Authorized only)	n Area:
Check only ONE) X No	n Area:

Solid & Liquid Manure	
Solid Manure or Litter Generated: (Tons)	
Liquid Manure or Wastewater Generated:	
Gallons) Solid Manure or Litter Transferred: (Tons)	
Liquid Manure or Wastewater Transferred:	
(Gallons)	

NMP (Nutrient Management Plan)	
Does the facility have an NMP developed or	Yes
approved by a certified planner? (Yes or No)	
NMP Developed Date: (mm/dd/yyyy)	50 11 12 12
NMP Last Updated Date: (mm/dd/yyyy)	

E	EMS (Environmental Management System)	
	Does the facility have an EMS? (Yes or No)	
	EMS Developed Date: (mm/dd/yyyy)	
	EMS Last Updated Date: (mm/dd/yyyy)	

Type (Check all applicable)	
K Buffers	
X Setbacks	
Conservation Tillage	
Constructed Wetlands	
Infiltration Field	
Grass Filter	
Тептасе	<del> </del>
Residue Management	
Other: (Specify)	

Ani	mal Type			
	pe heck all plicable)	Open Confinement Count (#)	Housed Under Roof Confinement Count (#)	Total #
	Mature Dairy Cattle		200	200
	Veal Calves	18 19 2		
-46.	Cattle (All except Mature Dairy Cattle & Veal Calves)			
	Swine over 55 lbs			
	Swine under 55 lbs			
	Horses			i e e
	Sheep or Lambs			
	Turkeys			
	Chicken (All except Layers)			
	Chicken (Layers)			
	Ducks		N I	
	Other: (Specify)	= 1_284 F		

Manure, Litter, & Processed Wastewater Storage Types					
Type (Check all applicable)		Storage Total Capacity Measure (# specify Tons or Gallons)	Days of Storage (#)		
	Wastewater Treatment Lagoon				
X	Storage Lagoon				
	Evaporation Pond				
	Above Ground Storage Tanks	lax a mi			
X	Below Ground Storage Tanks				
	Roofed Storage Shed	0.7			
	Concrete Pad				
	Impervious Soil Pad	ALAMA D			
	Underflow Pits				
	Anaerobic Digester				
BEAT!	Outdoor Piles				
	None				
	Other: (Specify)				

	Containment Type	• • •
- 11	Type (Check all applicable) Total Cap	acity (
11	Lagoon	
Ш	Holding Pond	-
Ш	Evaporation Pond	
۱	Other: (Specify)	
	Violation Types	
	Type (Check all applicable)	
Ш	Failure to Have an NMP	
+1	Failure to Follow an NMP	
	Inadequate Storage	
Ш	Unauthorized Discharge	
Ш	Improper Record Keeping	
-	Failure to Follow Setbacks/Vegetative Buffering	
	Failure to Sample/Test Manure/Soil	
		3
	100	

# ATTACHMENT A

# **Photograph Documentation**

All photographs were taken by Jon Klemesrud on September 3, 2014

Photo #1: Facing west, photo of lagoon #1.



